

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Boo. 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
	09/663,069	09/15/2000		Anandakumar Varatharajah		A-69227/MAK/LM	3198	
	30636	7590 10/24/2005				EXAMINER		
	FAY KAPLUN & MARCIN, LLP 150 BROADWAY, SUITE 702					GARG, YOGESH C		
		Z, NY 10038				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,					3625		

DATE MAILED: 10/24/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

A	oplication No.	Applicant(s)			
09	//663,069	VARATHARAJAH, ANANDAKUMAR			
Ex	aminer	Art Unit			
Yo	ogesh C. Garg	3625			

	Yogesh C. Garg	3625								
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress							
THE REPLY FILED <u>14 October 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A		· ·								
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complication for time periods:</li> </ol>	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The rep	of Appeal. To avoid ab affidavit, or other evide a compliance with 37 (	ence, which CFR 41.31; or							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of										
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO										
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	).									
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).										
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comof filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must the AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)	), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.							
3. ☑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a bris	of will not be entered :	hecause							
<ul> <li>(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> </ul>	nsideration and/or search (see NC w);	OTE below);								
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in being appeal; and/or	iter form for appeal by materially r	educing or simplifying	the issues for							
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ejected claims.								
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1										
<ol> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1</li> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s</li> </ol>		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).							
6. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a		e, timely filed amendm	nent canceling							
the non-allowable claim(s).	M	.:								
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		viii de entered and an	explanation of							
Claim(s) allowed:										
Claim(s) objected to:										
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-2, 5-10 &amp; 12-19</u> .										
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE										
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>										
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	eal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a							
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attac	ched.							
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	It does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	ance because:							
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).										
13. Other:	(	9/10	<u>-</u>							
		Yogesh C Garg								
•		Primary Examiner								

Art Unit: 3625

## Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Currently amended claims 1,8,9, and 14-19 raise new issues, such as the first and second ectronic receipts include a record of a purchase transaction.

Continuation of 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 35 USC 101 rejection of claims 16-17 in view of the current amendments made to claims 16-17 and 35 USC 112, second paragraph rejection of claim 12 i view of the current amendment made to claim 9.